1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
2	JOSE R. GUERRERO, State Bar No. 97276 Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
3	CATHERINE E. SANTILLAN Senior Legal Analyst	
4	California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000	
5	San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5579	
6	Facsimile: (415) 703-5480	
7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE T RESPIRATORY CA	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2116
12	BRIAN ALAN CHORMICLE 678 Morning Star Drive	ACCUSATION
13	Sonora CA 95370	
14	Respiratory Care Practitioner License no. 19563	
15	Respondent.	
16		•
17	Complainant alleges:	
18	<u>PARTIE</u>	<u>2S</u>
19	1. Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her	
20	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,	
21	Department of Consumer Affairs.	
22	2. On or about August 22, 1997,	the Respiratory Care Board issued
23	Respiratory Care Practitioner License number 19563	3 to Brian Alan Chormicle (Respondent).
24	The Respiratory Care Practitioner License was in ful	ll force and effect at all times relevant to the
25	charges brought herein and will expire on August 31, 2008, unless renewed.	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

## **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
  - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(d) Conviction of a crime that substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner. The record of conviction or a certified copy thereof shall be conclusive evidence of the conviction."
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500).
  - 7. Section 3750.5 of the Code states:

"In addition to any other grounds specified in this chapter, the board may deny, suspend, or revoke the license of any applicant or license holder who has done any of the following:

"(a) Obtained or possessed in violation of law, or except as directed by a licensed physician and surgeon, dentist, or podiatrist administered to himself or herself, or furnished or administered to another, any controlled substances as defined in Division 10 (commencing with

///

Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 2 (commencing with section 4015) of Chapter 9.

"(b) Used any controlled substance as defined in Division 10 (commencing with Section 11000) of the Health and Safety Code, or any dangerous drug as defined in Article 7 (commencing with section 4210) of Chapter 9 of this code."

## 8. Section 3752 of the Code states:

"A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction following a plea of nolo contendere made to a charge of any offense which substantially relates to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a respiratory care practitioner is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this article. The board shall order the license suspended or revoked, or may decline to issue a license, when the time for appeal has elapsed, or the judgment of conviction has been affirmed on appeal or when an order granting probation is made suspending the imposition of sentence, irrespective of a subsequent order under Section 1203.4 of the Penal Code allowing the person to withdraw his or her plea of guilty and to enter a plea of not guilty, or setting aside the verdict of guilty, or dismissing the accusation, information, or indictment."

9. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act."
- "(c) Conviction of a crime involving driving under the influence or reckless driving while under the influence."

## 1 **COST RECOVERY** 2 10. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states: 3 "In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have 4 5 committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case." 6 7 11. Section 3753.7 of the Code states: "For purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall 8 9 include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other 10 administrative, filing, and service fees." 11 12. Section 3753.1 of the Code states: 12 "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs 13 14 associated with monitoring the probation. " 15 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 16 (Conviction) 17 13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 3750(d), 3750(g), 3752 and CCR 1399.370(c) in that he was convicted of violating Vehicle Code section 18 19 23103.5 (acceptance of nolo contendere plea to violation of section 23103 in place of charge for 20 violation of section 23152). The circumstances are as follows: 21 14. On or about September 21, 2005, at approximately 0325 hours, California

14. On or about September 21, 2005, at approximately 0325 hours, California Highway Patrol Officer J. Peregoy was notified of a possible driving under the influence driver in a black BMW. Officer Peregoy observed the subject vehicle traveling at speeds between 25 and 55 miles per hour. The driver was swerving between the lanes, and was very slow to react to the police car flashing lights and siren.

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

15. Officer Peregoy approached the vehicle on the passenger side and observed the driver alone in the car, rocking back and forth in his seat. Officer Peregoy asked for his license, registration and insurance. The driver, identified as respondent by a California

driver's license, stated in a very slow and slurred voice that he had just come off work as a respiratory therapist. Officer Peregoy asked respondent to step out of the vehicle. Respondent used the side of his car for balance, and as he walked around the car, he walked with a slight limp. He denied drinking alcohol. He told the officer that he had taken medication because he had been in a traffic collision "about a year ago" and fractured his ankle. He also stated he had a fused vertebrate in his back. He would not answer the date the collision occurred or the date the fused vertebrate had occurred. He stated that he had taken Soma, Vicoden, Flexirol and Darvocet, and had prescriptions for all the medications. Respondent stated that he had just used the medications when he got off work, and that he had taken more than was prescribed.

- 16. Officer Peregoy asked respondent to perform field sobriety tests (FSTs). Respondent stated that his right ankle had been broken and repaired with surgery, and he had very limited movement. He also stated he had back problems but had not had surgery for his back. Respondent was unable to perform the FSTs.
- 17. Officer Peregoy observed a clear plastic bag on the rear seat of respondent's vehicle, which contained three Naprosyn tablets (300 mg), one Vicodin tablet (800 mg), one Soma tablet (350 mg), nine Soma/Naprosyn caplets (unknown mg), 52 Ultram caplets (unknown mg). He also had 67 Darvocet-N tablets (100 mg) in a prescription bottle. The label on the bottle was for M.B. Based on respondent's driving, poor performance on the FSTs, admission to using more medication than prescribed, and objective symptoms, Officer Peregoy arrested respondent for violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving under the influence of alcohol and/or drugs.
- 18. Respondent was informed of Vehicle Code section 23612 (implied consent), and he chose a blood test. Respondent's test results were positive for benzodiazepines and opiates.
- 19. On or about October 18, 2005, a criminal complaint titled *People of the*State of California vs. Brian Alan Chormicle was filed in Stanislaus County Superior Court, case

<sup>1.</sup> Initials are used to protect confidentiality. Full names will be disclosed in discovery.

1	no. CHP 18427AR. Count 1 charged respondent with violating Vehicle Code section 23152(a),		
2	driving under the influence of a drug. Count 2 charged respondent with violating Business &		
3	Professions code section 4060, possession of a controlled substance in that he had a controlled		
4	substance in his possession without a valid prescription.		
5	20. On or about August 30, 2006, in Superior Court Stanislaus County case		
6	no. 1099220, respondent was convicted on his plea of nolo contendere to a misdemeanor		
7	violation of Vehicle Code section 23103.5 (acceptance of nolo contendere plea to violation of		
8	section 23103 in place of charge for violation of section 23152). Count 2 was dismissed. The		
9	respondent was placed on thirty six months informal probation, ordered to take all medication as		
10	directed, and not to be impaired while driving. He was ordered to complete a Level I short term		
11	drinking drivers program.		
12	21. Therefore, respondent's license is subject to discipline based on his		
13	conviction of violating Vehicle Code section 23103.5, which is substantially related to the		
14	practice of respiratory care.		
15	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
16	(Possession of a controlled substance)		
17	22. Paragraphs 14 through 20 above are incorporated herein.		
18	23. Respondent was in possession of Darvocet, a controlled substance, that		
19	was prescribed to M.B.		
20	24. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750.5(a) in that		
21	he was in possession of a controlled substance without a valid prescription.		
22	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
23	(Use of a controlled substance)		
24	25. Pargraphs 14 through 23 above are incorporated herein.		
25	26. On September 21, 2005, respondent tested positive for hydrocodone,		
26	carisoprodol, meprobamate, diazepam, nordiazepam and norpropoxyphene. He did not have		
27	valid prescriptions for these controlled substances.		
28	27. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750.5(b) in that		

1	he used controlled substances without a valid prescription.	
2	<u>PRAYER</u>	
3	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein	
4	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:	
5	1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License Number	
6	19563, issued to Brian Alan Chormicle.	
7	2. Ordering Brian Alan Chormicle to pay the Respiratory Care Board the	
8	costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of	
9	probation monitoring;	
10	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
11	DATED: December 19, 2007	
12		
13	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for:	
14	STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer	
15	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs	
16	State of California Complainant	
17	Complainait	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		